Mastering GC: tame the beast and make it your best ally

Jean-Philippe Bempel



Agenda

- OpenJDK GCs
 - Serial GC
 - Parallel GC
 - G1
 - Shenandoah
 - ZGC

- Selecting the right GC
- Tuning GC



OpenJDK GCs

Serial GC

- Generational
- Single threaded
- Stop-The-World

• Default GC for < 2GB or < 2 cores



Spaces & generations





Semi-spaces: Survivors









Parallel GC

• Generational

• Multi threaded

• Stop-The-World



Minor GC marking



Remember Set: Card Table



G1 GC

- Generational
- Multi threaded

• Stop-The-World/Concurrent

• Region based



Regions





DATADOG

Regions





Credit: Kirk Pepperdine

Regions

- Memory reclaimed by evacuation of a region (copying objects/compacting)
- Limiting copy and focus on regions with most garbage => G1

- When evacuating objects, you update refs, but avoid scanning the full heap
- Using RememberSet to know which region to scan for refs



RememberSet





Shenandoah GC

• Not generational (single space)

• Fully Concurrent

• Region based



Concurrent Evacuation: challenges

- How to make sure we can reach an object that is evacuating?
- Read (Load) Barrier
 - O Everytime we are loading an object to access it, we check if we need to find it elsewhere or not

- Self-Healing
 - O Application thread can help fix addresses not yet fixed by GC threads



Z GC

- Not generational (yet)
- Fully Concurrent
- Region based



Colored pointers

- Store metadata in unused bits of reference address
- 44 bits for addressing 16TB
 (Max Heap)
- 4 bits for metadata:
 - O Marked0
 - O Marked1
 - O Remapped
 - O Finalizable

TADOG

```
444 44
                                                    0
             76521
                                                    0
* 41-0 Object Offset (42-bits, 4TB address space)
              * 45-42 Metadata Bits (4-bits) 0001 = Marked0
                                      0010 = Marked1
                                      0100 = Remapped
                                      1000 = Finalizable
             * 46-46 Unused (1-bit, always zero)
```

* 63-47 Fixed (17-bits, always zero)

Selecting the right GC

Identify your workload: Throughput oriented

- Jobs, processing by steps
- High volume of data

• No response to a human user

• Examples: Spark jobs, Kafka consumer/producer, intakes, ETL, ...



Identify your workload: Latency sensitive

• Application based on Request/Response

• Response to human user, even indirect (microservices dependencies)

• Databases

• Examples: Http/gRPC services, Cassandra



Throughput oriented: Which GC?

- JDK Flight Recorder (JFR) files
- Use JDK Mission Control Library for parsing round robin fashion
- Metric: total runtime of a predefined number of JFR files processed



Throughput oriented: Which GC?



JMC Parser Runtime



Throughput oriented: Analysis G1

A Key Performance Indicators

(Important section of the report. To learn more about KPIs, click here)



DATADOG

Throughput oriented: Analysis G1

	Young GC 🛈	Concurrent Marking	Remark	Cleanup 0
Total Time 🕜	20 sec 478 ms	2 sec 646 ms	41.6 ms	6.02 ms
Avg Time 🕜	83.9 ms	56.3 ms	2.31 ms	0.334 ms
Std Dev Time	56.8 ms	80.9 ms	0.243 ms	0.0905 ms
Min Time 🕢	1.72 ms	1.72 ms	1.99 ms	0.225 ms
Max Time 🚱	326 ms	323 ms	2.91 ms	0.627 ms
Interval Time 🚱	501 ms	2 sec 428 ms	6 sec 539 ms	6 sec 539 ms
Count 🕑	244	47	18	18



Throughput oriented: Analysis Parallel

(Important section of the report. To learn more about KPIs, click here)

DAT



Throughput oriented: Analysis Parallel

Total GC stats

Total GC count 🛛	108
Total reclaimed bytes 🕜	161.27 gb
Total GC time 🔞	2 sec 717 ms
Avg GC time 🔞	25.2 ms
GC avg time std dev	18.7 ms
GC min/max time	1.53 ms / 82.0 ms
GC Interval avg time 🔞	949 ms

Minor GC stats

Minor GC count	106
Minor GC reclaimed	157.85 gb
Minor GC total time	2 sec 662 ms
Minor GC avg time	25.1 ms
Minor GC avg time std dev	18.8 ms
Minor GC min/max time	1.53 ms / 82.0 ms
Minor GC Interval avg 🔞	967 ms

Full GC stats

Full GC Count	2
Full GC reclaimed 📀	3.42 gb
Full GC total time	55.2 ms
Full GC avg time 🕜	27.6 ms
Full GC avg time std dev	11.1 ms
Full GC min/max time	16.5 ms / 38.7 ms
Full GC Interval avg	29 sec 909 ms

GC Pause Statistics

Pause Count	108
Pause total time	2 sec 717 ms
Pause avg time 🕜	25.2 ms
Pause avg time std dev	0.0
Pause min/max time	1.53 ms / 82.0 ms



Latency sensitive: Which GC?

- Spring petclinic demo app
- Send requests and waiting for response

• Metric: Percentiles of latency of each request



Latency sensitive: Which GC?



Percentiles

DATA

Latency sensitive: Which GC?



DATALuu

Tuning GC

Tuning Parallel GC

- Very simple to reason about
- Main goal: avoid promoting short/middle lived object to postpone Full GC
- Adjust young gen to reach this goal depending on your workload
- Don't hesitate to increase the young gen sometimes > 50% of the heap



Tuning Parallel GC

JMC Parser Runtime





Tuning G1: Humongous

- Humongous objects are difficult to handle for G1:
 - Creates fragmentation
 - Cannot move
 - Triggers prematurely GC b/c checked during each Humongous alloc
- GC Cause = Humongous allocation
 - Try to adjust HeapRegionSize to reduce Humongous objects
 - But large objects not Humongous need to be moved, may increase pause time...
 - Cannot do if regions are already 32MB...



Tuning G1: Humongous

JMC Parser Runtime





Tuning G1: Young Gen Resize

- Resize based on target pause time:
 - Increase if pause time < target
 - Decrease if pause time > target

- Goal overshoot leads to aggressive young gen reduction down to 5%:
 - Short young gen generates very frequent Young GC
 - This storm can lead to over promotion/copy of objects and increase of pause time
 - More frequent GCs + significant pause time => worse than overshooting the initial goal

- Use NewSize/MaxNewSize for min and max Young Gen
 - But not NewRatio or Xmn which will fix the Young Gen

DATADOG

Tuning G1: Target pause time

- Main knob for G1 to tune is MaxGCPauseMillis as Target Pause time
- Increase target pause for more throughput (accepting more pause time)

• Decrease target pause time for more low latency, but below 50ms it's difficult...



Tuning Shenandoah

• To be effective, allocation rate should not overrun the GC

• Otherwise => Pacer or Full GC

• Need heap headroom for having time to collect and reclaim regions

• cores/Conc Gc threads for finishing cycle faster

• Region reclaim is done at the end of the GC cycle

DATADOG

Tuning Z

- To be effective, allocation rate should not overrun the GC
- Otherwise "Allocation Stall" but No Full GC

• Need heap headroom for having time to collect and reclaim regions

- cores/Conc Gc threads for finishing cycle faster
- Region reclaim is done as soon as relocation is done

DATADOG

Conclusion

How to choose a GC?

- Throughput oriented workload
 - Parallel GC
 - G1 if no issue and good figures

- Latency sensitive workload
 - O Shenandoah
 - 0 Z
 - 0 C4



Why not G1?

- Complexity of the heuristic make it difficult to tune
- Couple of things can go wrong:
 - Fragmentation leading to FullGC, not all Old region are considered
 - RememberSet granularity, Post-Write Barrier, Refinement Threads
 - Evacuation failure (=> full GC), InitiatingHeapOccupancyPercent, G1ReservePercent
 - Young Gen dynamic sizing, drastic reduction leads to minor GC storm
 - Humongous allocations, premature minor GC and fragmentation

• G1 Heuristic can save you or can curse you!



References

References

Understanding low latency GCs G1 One Garbage Collector to rule them all Tips for Tuning The G1 GC G1 Garbage Collector Details and Tuning What's the deal with humongous objects in Java? Shenandoah: The Garbage Collector That Could Load Reference Barriers Eliminating forward pointer word Concurrent GC collectors: ZGC & Shenandoah Deep Dive into ZGC: A Modern Garbage Collector in OpenJDK GCEasy.io







Thank You!